

Effectiveness of Function Matching in Driving Scene Recognition

2022/10/23 The 3rd AVVision Workshop Shingo Yashima (Denso IT Laboratory, inc.)

Learning Compact DNNs for Driving Scene Recognition



Obtaining a <u>lightweight</u> and <u>well-preforming</u> recognition model for autonomous driving (e.g., segmentation, detection)

Issue The trained model sometimes does not reach the desired performance

Cause

1. Not enough model capacity \rightarrow Need to change the architecture



Learning Compact DNNs for Driving Scene Recognition



Obtaining a <u>lightweight</u> and <u>well-preforming</u> recognition model for autonomous driving (e.g., segmentation, detection)

Issue The trained model sometimes does not reach the desired performance

Cause

Not enough model capacity → Need to change the architecture
Enough model capacity, but not optimized sufficiently

Compact models are often harder to generalize than large models, even though they may have the capacity to represent solutions of the large models



Learning Compact DNNs for Driving Scene Recognition



Obtaining a <u>lightweight</u> and <u>well-preforming</u> recognition model for autonomous driving (e.g., segmentation, detection)

Issue The trained model sometimes does not reach the desired performance

Cause

Not enough model capacity → Need to change the architecture
Enough model capacity, but not optimized sufficiently

Method to exploit full generalization power of compact models?

→ Knowledge Distillaion



Knowledge Distillation as Function Matching

Recent study showed critical components of distillation to improve performance [1]:

- 1. Consistency of teacher's and student's inputs
- 2. Distilling on a **wide range of data points** for large number of epochs
- \rightarrow Imitating teacher as a function is critical (function matching)



[1] L Beyer et al., "Knowledge Distillation: A Good Teacher Is Patient and Consistent." CVPR2022.

Effectiveness of Function Matching in Driving Scene Recognition / 2022/10/23 / Shingo Yashima

© DENSO IT LABORATORY, INC. All Rights Reserved

Function Matching on Driving Scene Recognition



Compact models are hard to generalize when directly learned from labels Imitating the large model on a large number of points yields generalization

Generally, we can access an almost infinite amount of (unlabeled) driving scene data

How effective is using large amounts of unlabeled scene data for distillation?



Experiments

Evaluation on semantic segmentation (SS) and object detection (OD) in BDD100K

DatasetsSS Labeled:10,000 imagesOD Labeled:100,000 imagesUnlabeled:16,000,000 images (clipped from original videos)

Learning Methods

- ① Train the compact model directly from the labeled dataset (Supervised)
- ② Train the large model from the **labeled** dataset
 - \rightarrow Distill it to the compact model with the **labeled** dataset (**Distill**)
- ③ Train the large model from the **labeled** dataset
 - \rightarrow Distill it to the compact model with the **unlabeled** dataset (**FunMatch**)



Results (Semantic Segmentation)

ModelLarge:PSPNet with ResNet-101 backboneCompact:PSPNet with ResNet-18 backbone

<u>Results</u>

| | Method | Training Data | mIoU |
|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| Large (teacher) | Supervised | Labeled (70K) | 64.83 |
| Compact ① | Supervised | Labeled (70K) | 61.48 |
| Compact 2 | Distill | Labeled (70K枚) | 61.70 |
| Compact 3 | FunMatch | Labeled (70K) + Unlabeled (16M) | 64.74 |

(picked the best performing model among all training schedules)

The peformance of the compact model is improved dramatically by function matching with a large number of unlabeled data



Results (Object Detection)

ModelLarge:FCOS with ResNet-101 + FPN backboneCompact:FCOS with ResNet-18 + FPN backbone

<u>Results</u>

| | Method | Training Data | mAP |
|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Large (teacher) | Supervised | Labeled (70K) | 31.4 |
| Compact ① | Supervised | Labeled (70K) | 29.5 |
| Compact ② | Distill | Labeled (70K枚) | 30.4 |
| Compact 3 | FunMatch | Labeled (70K) + Unlabeled (16M) | 31.2 |

(picked the best performing model among all training schedules)

The peformance of the compact model is improved dramatically by function matching with a large number of unlabeled data



Results (Agreement with teacher)



Performance w.r.t. ground truth

Agreement with teacher

Use of unlabeled data and longer training yields better agreement with teacher, resulting in better performance



